Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/572,778	BERG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KRISTIN BIANCHI	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) KRISTIN BIANCHI.	(3)	
(2) <u>Kenneth F. Mitchell</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 October 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 47-52 and 63-68		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's representative that the claims were in condition for allowance (i.e., no prior art was found), but in order for the withdrawn method claims to be rejoined, they needed to be amended to overcome enablement issues. Applicant's representative agreed to having the Examiner amend the method claims by way of examiner's amendment.